



Pre-Disaster Flood Resilience Grant Assessment Grant Scoring

Wis. Stats. 323.63

[For scorer use only; do not submit with application.]

Applicant

Date:

Entity name:

Entity type: [city, village, town, county, tribe, RPC, nonprofit, private consultant]

Contact name:

Contact title:

Contact address:

Contact phone:

Contact email:

If additional local governmental units are participating in the project, please list them here:

If applicant is a nonprofit or private consultant, which local governmental unit(s) are they applying on behalf of:

Project Eligibility

Project title:

Does the application meet one of the following criteria?

- The project area includes an area that has been the site of a presidentially declared disaster for flooding at any time in the 10 years preceding this grant application cycle.
- The project area includes an area that has previously been the site of a governor-issued state of emergency for flooding at any time in the 10 years preceding this grant application cycle.
- The applicant or local unit(s) of government on behalf of which this application is submitted has a current, Wisconsin Emergency Management (WEM)-approved hazard mitigation plan that identifies localized exposure to flood risk.

Is the assessment grant for a project for the generation and gathering of information on vulnerabilities and identification of flood resilience priorities on a watershed, catchment, or stream reach scale?

Did the applicant attach written documentation from (must have all three)

- the local governmental unit's main decision-authorizing body indicating the body has authorized the local governmental unit's participation in the grant project
- the local governmental unit's body responsible for expending the local governmental unit's funds indicating the body's commitment or intention to expend funds or provide in-kind contributions for the grant project and to be responsible for any costs in excess of the estimated budget; **and**

- the applicant indicating the applicant's commitment to fulfill all requirements of the program contained in state program guidelines including the submission of all appropriate forms and that the project will meet all applicable local codes and standards as well as other appropriate state requirements?

If no to any of the above, project is not eligible.

Scoring

(partial points possible for each metric)

The Problem (5 points possible)

Does the applicant demonstrate a repetitive problem they are trying to solve? To which resource(s)?

- Public/private property
- Public health/safety
- Response costs
- Environmental/cultural resources
- Utility/infrastructure

1 point for each impact up to 3 points total

Is the risk/problem identified in a local/county/tribal hazard mitigation plan, the state's Threat Hazard Identification Risk Assessment (THIRA), or another local or regional planning/risk assessment document?

2 points

Scope of Work (17 points possible)

Will the project contribute to overall understanding of the hydrology of the project area? (I.e., does the assessment include gathering data on how or where degraded hydrology is contributing to flood/erosion risks?)

3 points

Does the application clearly explain:

- Purpose of the assessment – 2 points
- Method of the assessment – 2 points
- Deliverables – 2 points
- Long-term outcomes and how the information will be used to improve flood resilience in the project area (e.g., will the information be useful for updates to hazard mitigation plans or other local/regional watershed plans/priorities?) – 4 points

Will the information be used to help prioritize mitigation areas, or select or scope implementation projects?

4 points

Project Location (3 points possible)

Is the project location clearly defined including a description of the watershed, catchment, or stream reach and supporting documentation in the form of maps, photographs, and other

geographic information?

3 points

Budget (6 points possible)

Is the budget broken down by cost elements that are eligible and reasonable?

3 points

Is appropriate supporting documentation for the budget provided?

3 points

Cost Effectiveness (2 points possible)

Does the application describe benefits of the project that are likely to exceed the costs of the project?

2 points

Work Schedule (2 points possible)

Is the work schedule reasonable and 24 months or less?

2 points

Total possible points = 35

TOTALS

Are the applicant and project eligible (Y/N)?

Category	Points Possible	Score
The Problem	5	
Scope of Work	17	
Project Location	3	
Budget	6	
Cost Effectiveness	2	
Work Schedule	2	
TOTAL	35	