



Pre-Disaster Flood Resilience Grant Implementation Grant Scoring

Wis. Stats. 323.63

[For scorer use only; do not submit with application.]

Applicant

Date:

Entity name:

Entity type: [city, village, town, county, tribe, RPC, nonprofit, private consultant]

Contact name:

Contact title:

Contact address:

Contact phone:

Contact email:

If additional local governmental units are participating in the project, please list them here:

If applicant is a nonprofit or private consultant, which local governmental unit(s) are they applying on behalf of:

Project Eligibility

Project title:

Does the application meet one of the following criteria?

- The project area includes an area that has been the site of a presidentially declared disaster for flooding at any time in the 10 years preceding this grant application cycle.
- The project area includes an area that has previously been the site of a governor-issued state of emergency for flooding at any time in the 10 years preceding this grant application cycle.
- The applicant or local unit(s) of government on behalf of which this application is submitted has a current, Wisconsin Emergency Management (WEM)-approved hazard mitigation plan that identifies localized exposure to flood risk.

Is the implementation grant for a hydrologic restoration project that has been identified or designed through an assessment grant or comparable assessment process?

Did the applicant attach written documentation from (must have all three)

- the local governmental unit's main decision-authorizing body indicating the body has authorized the local governmental unit's participation in the grant project
- the local governmental unit's body responsible for expending the local governmental unit's funds indicating the body's commitment or intention to expend funds or provide in-kind contributions for the grant project and to be responsible for any costs in excess of the estimated budget; **and**
- the applicant indicating the applicant's commitment to fulfill all requirements of the program contained in state program guidelines including the submission of all appropriate

forms and that the project will meet all applicable local codes and standards as well as other appropriate state requirements?

If no to any of the above, project is not eligible.

Scoring

(partial points possible for each metric)

The Problem (5 points possible)

Does the applicant demonstrate a repetitive problem they are trying to solve? To which resource(s)?

- Public/private property
- Public health/safety
- Response costs
- Environmental/cultural resources
- Utility/infrastructure

1 point for each impact up to 3 points total

Is the risk/problem identified in a local/county/tribal hazard mitigation plan, the state's Threat Hazard Identification Risk Assessment (THIRA), or another local or regional planning/risk assessment document?

2 points

Scope of Work (17 points possible)

Does the application clearly explain:

- The assessment process used to identify this project and why it was selected over other alternatives including doing nothing – 3 points
- The proposed activity/activities that is/are eligible for funding: regulatory coordination, engineering and design, construction, and/or post-construction monitoring – 2 points
- How the project will repair degraded hydrology using nature-based solutions in order to achieve one or more of the following outcomes – 4 points total
 - Reconnect streams and floodplains
 - Establish healthy channel form and condition
 - Mitigate erosion hazards
 - Remove or reduce wetland drainage
 - Restore or improve natural flow and movement of water or sediment
 - Reestablish vegetation to support site stability and help manage flow and infiltration
- The level of protection that will be achieved by this project. (What magnitude of storm or flood event will this project protect against? Provide the source of this information.) – 2 points

Will the project adequately withstand a severe storm or flood event?

1 points

Is the project part of a catchment or reach-scale strategy (reflecting that projects of larger scale can have added impact)?

2 points

Are there preliminary designs or specifications from a licensed professional engineer or other accredited design professional, that reference appropriate standards or codes? (Any floodplain studies that will be submitted to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources and the Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval must be completed by a professional engineer licensed in Wisconsin.)

3 points

If this project will alter the floodplain in any way, is there a plan included for completing FEMA's CLOMR/LOMR map revision process?

If no, subtract 4 points

Project Location (3 points possible)

Is the project location clearly defined including a description of the watershed, catchment, or stream reach and supporting documentation in the form of maps, photographs, and other geographic information?

3 points

Budget (6 points possible)

Is the budget broken down by cost elements that are eligible and reasonable?

3 points

Is appropriate supporting documentation for the budget provided?

3 points

Cost Effectiveness (2 points possible)

Does the application provide a benefit cost analysis or otherwise describe benefits of the project that are likely to exceed the costs of the project?

2 points

Work Schedule (2 points possible)

Is the work schedule reasonable and 24 months or less?

2 points

Total possible points = 35

TOTALS

Are the applicant and project eligible (Y/N)?

Category	Points Possible	Score
The Problem	5	
Scope of Work	17	
Project Location	3	
Budget	6	
Cost Effectiveness	2	

Work Schedule	2	
TOTAL	35	